## ANNUAL CERTIFICATION FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2002 (WAC 136-04)

MAI	NAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATION	ON (If the answer	to any question except "B"	is No. please attach	an explan	ation.)
Α.	IAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATION (If the answer to any question except "B" is No, please attach The County Engineer performed the duties and had the responsibilities specified in RCW 36.80.030.				Yes	☐ No
В.	At any time during the past year, was there a vacancy in the position of county Engineer?				Yes	□No
	If so, were the procedures in WAC 136-12 followed?				Yes	□No
C.	The processing of County Road A				Yes	□No
D.	Priority Programming techniques were applied to the ranking of all potential projects on the					□No
	arterial road system per WAC 136-14-020.					
E.	As of December 31, 2002, the management of the county road department was in accordance with policies set by the					
	county legislative authority inclu					
	, ,	O	DATE OF MOST		•	IITTED
	POLICY	WAC	CURRENT VERSION	<b>IMPLEMENTED</b>		CRAB
	Re: Organization	136-050-051	<u> </u>	Yes No	Yes	No
	Re: Personnel Practices	136-050-052		Yes No	Yes	□No
	Re: Complaint Handling	136-050-053		Yes No	Yes	□No
	Re: Work for Others	136-050-054		Yes No	Yes	□No
	Re: Utility Accommodation	136-050-055		Yes No	Yes	□No
	3				_	_
F.	The following have been submitted to CRAB in a timely manner:					
			<b>DUE NO LATER</b>	LEGISLATIVE	SUBM	IITTED
	<u>DOCUMENT</u>	<u>WAC</u>	<u>THAN</u>	<u>APPROVAL</u>	<u>TO (</u>	CRAB
	Description of Priority					
	Programming technique	136-014-020				
	Road Levy Certification	136-150-021	February 1			
	Sheriff's Certification of Road					
	Levy Expenditures	136-150-022	March 15			
	Engineer's Certification of Fish					
	Barrier Removal Costs	136-150-023	March 15			
	Annual Construction Report	136-016-050	April 1			
	CAPP Report	136-300-090	April 1			
	Road Log Update	136-060-030	May 1			
	Six-Year Program	136-015-050	December 31			
	Annual Construction Program	136-016-040	December 31			
	CAPP Program	136-300-060	December 31			
	Road Fund Budget		December 31			
	ERATIONS (If any answer is No, ple	aase attach a hrie	f evaluation )			
G.	· · ·		• •	2 Annual Program	☐ Yes	□No
Н.	Projects to which construction expenditures were charged were all on the 2002 Annual Program. Yes No. The County's day labor limit for 2002, computed in accordance with RCW 36.77.065:					
I.	The actual expenditure for day labor as reported in the 2002 Annual Construction Report:					
J.	A written resume of bridge inspection findings was furnished to the legislative authority in 2002					
<i>,</i> ·	as required by WAC 136-20-060.			Yes	□No	
	1		Number of cou	nty-owned bridges:		
K.	The County uses a Pavement Management System meeting the requirement				Yes	☐ No
herel	by certify as to the accuracy of the respon	nses given herein, ar	nd as modified by the attached s	tatements, if any:		
			 County	Date		
	Signature of County Engineer		Country	2		
	Approved:					
	Cionatum of Clasin of the Dean Land	maker Face and in	T:11.	Date		
	Signature of Chair of the Board or Cou	ніў блесиное	Title	Duie		